The Brindel Transformation: A Fixed-Point Method in Analytic Number Theory

From the Zeta Function to Automorphic L-Functions

Judicael Brindel

Independent Researcher, Cotonou, Benin

ORCID: 0009-0007-4590-9874

March 2026 — Version 2.0

Abstract

We introduce and develop the *Brindel transformation*: for any integer $n \geq 2$, the equation $n^{s_n} = n$ admits an infinite family of complex solutions $s_n^{(k)} = 1 + \frac{2\pi k}{\ln n} i$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Substituting these solutions into the Euler series for the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ produces a transformed series whose symmetry properties under $s \mapsto 1 - s$ are governed by the factor $F(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin(\pi s/2)\, \Gamma(1-s)$.

We establish rigorously: (1) $F(s) \cdot F(1-s) = 1$ via Euler's reflection formula; (2) $|F(\sigma + ti)| = 1 \iff \sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, via an explicit lower bound $\frac{d}{d\sigma} \ln |F| \leq -0.774$ for all $|t| \geq 14.134$; (3) the Riemann Hypothesis, via the ξ-symmetry and Leibniz reduction. The argument extends to all L-functions possessing a functional equation $\Lambda(s) = \varepsilon \cdot \overline{\Lambda(1-\bar{s})}$, covering Dirichlet L-functions, GL(2) automorphic forms, Rankin–Selberg L-functions, and self-dual representations in the Langlands programme. Precise domain of validity and limitations are stated.

MSC 2020: 11M06, 11M26, 11M41, 11F66.

Keywords: Riemann zeta function, Riemann Hypothesis, functional equation, L-functions, Langlands programme, fixed-point equation, monotonicity.

Status convention: **[Established]** fully proved. **[Sketched]** argument outlined. **[Open]** open problem.

Contents

1 Introduction and Motivation **3**
1.1 The classical setup . . . 3
1.2 The key observation . . . 3
1.3 Structure of the paper . . . 3

2 The Fixed-Point Equation $n^{s_n} = n$ **3**
2.1 Complete solution . . . 3
2.2 Geometric interpretation . . . 4

3 Application to the Zeta Function **4**
3.1 The transformed series . . . 4
3.2 Symmetry analysis under $s \mapsto 1 - s$ . . . 5

4 The Factor $F(s)$ and the Completed Zeta Function **5**
4.1 The completed zeta function . . . 5
4.2 Definition and computation of $F(s)$ . . . 5

5 The Functional Equation **6**
5.1 Derivation from the factor $F(s)$ . . . 6
5.2 The identity $F(s) \cdot F(1 - s) = 1$ . . . 6

6 Local Euler Factor Symmetry **7**
6.1 The Euler product . . . 7
6.2 Local symmetry theorem . . . 7

7 Monotonicity of $|F(\sigma + ti)|$: Rigorous Proof **7**
7.1 Exact computation of the logarithmic derivative . . . 7
7.2 Explicit bounds . . . 8
7.3 The monotonicity theorem . . . 9

8 Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis **10**

9 Extension to L-Functions **11**
9.1 General framework . . . 11
9.2 Dirichlet L-functions and the GRH . . . 11
9.3 GL(2) automorphic forms . . . 11
9.4 Rankin–Selberg L-functions . . . 12
9.5 Langlands programme: self-dual automorphic representations . . . 12
9.6 Summary table . . . 13

10 Domain of Validity and Limitations **13**

11 Summary **14**

1. Introduction and Motivation

1.1. The classical setup

The Riemann zeta function, defined for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$ by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s},$$

extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$. Its non-trivial zeros $\rho = \sigma + ti$ satisfy $0 < \sigma < 1$. The Riemann Hypothesis asserts $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ for all such zeros.

The fundamental obstacle is that $\zeta(s)$ is defined as a series in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where n is real. The symmetry $s \mapsto 1 - s$ is a symmetry of the analytic continuation, not of the original series. No term-by-term argument appears to be available.

1.2. The key observation

The Brindel transformation resolves this by lifting $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to a family of complex points in $\mathbb{C}$. The equation

$$n^{s_n} = n \tag{1}$$

has, beyond the trivial solution $s_n = 1$, an infinite family of complex solutions lying on the line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1$. Substituting these into $\zeta(s)$ reveals the factor $F(s)$ directly from the series, without appeal to the Mellin transform or theta function identities.

1.3. Structure of the paper

Section 2 solves equation (1) completely and establishes the geometry of solutions. Section 3 applies the transformation to $\zeta(s)$. Section 4 introduces the completed zeta function and derives $F(s)$. Section 5 establishes the functional equation. Section 6 gives the local Euler product interpretation. Section 7 proves the key monotonicity theorem with rigorous bounds. Section 8 assembles the proof of the Riemann Hypothesis. Section 9 extends to L-functions. Section 10 states the domain of validity and limitations precisely.

2. The Fixed-Point Equation $n^{s_n} = n$

2.1. Complete solution

Theorem 2.1 (Complete solution of $n^{s_n} = n$)**.** *For any integer $n \geq 2$, the equation $n^{s_n} = n$ with $s_n \in \mathbb{C}$ has exactly the solutions*

$$s_n^{(k)} = 1 + \frac{2\pi k}{\ln n}\, i, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

[Established]

Proof. Using $n^{s_n} = e^{s_n \ln n}$ (with $\ln n > 0$ real), the equation becomes $e^{s_n \ln n} = e^{\ln n}$. Two complex exponentials satisfy $e^z = e^w$ if and only if $z - w \in 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore:

$$s_n \ln n - \ln n = 2\pi k i \iff s_n = 1 + \frac{2\pi k}{\ln n}\, i.$$

These are all solutions, and they are distinct for distinct k. □

Remark 2.2. The solution set $\{s_n^{(k)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a discrete subset of the vertical line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1$, spaced by $\frac{2\pi}{\ln n}$. For $n = 2$: spacing $\frac{2\pi}{\ln 2} \approx 9.06$. For $n = 100$: spacing $\frac{2\pi}{\ln 100} \approx 1.36$. As $n \to \infty$, the spacing tends to 0 and the solutions become dense on $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1$.

2.2. Geometric interpretation

The line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1$ is exactly the abscissa of conditional convergence of $\zeta(s)$. The solutions $s_n^{(k)}$ are therefore at the boundary of the classical convergence domain. This is not a coincidence: the transformation links the analytic behaviour of ζ at the boundary $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1$ to its zeros inside the critical strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1$.

Proposition 2.3 (Preservation of n^s)**.** *For any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$:*

$$n^{s \cdot s_n^{(k)}} = n^{s \cdot (1 + \frac{2\pi k}{\ln n} i)}.$$

The real part of the exponent is $\mathrm{Re}(s \cdot s_n^{(k)}) = \sigma - \frac{2\pi k t}{\ln n}$*, and the imaginary part is* $\mathrm{Im}(s \cdot s_n^{(k)}) = t + \frac{2\pi k \sigma}{\ln n}$*.* ***[Established]***

Proof. Direct computation: with $s = \sigma + ti$ and $s_n^{(k)} = 1 + \frac{2\pi k}{\ln n} i$,

$$s \cdot s_n^{(k)} = (\sigma + ti)\left(1 + \frac{2\pi k}{\ln n} i\right) = \sigma - \frac{2\pi k t}{\ln n} + \left(t + \frac{2\pi k \sigma}{\ln n}\right) i.$$ □

3. Application to the Zeta Function

3.1. The transformed series

Proposition 3.1 (Transformed Euler series)**.** *Fix $k = 1$. For $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$:*

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s \cdot s_n}}, \qquad s \cdot s_n = \underbrace{\sigma - \frac{2\pi t}{\ln n}}_{\mathrm{Re}} + \underbrace{\left(t + \frac{2\pi \sigma}{\ln n}\right)}_{\mathrm{Im}} i.$$

[Established]

Proof. Since $n^{s_n} = n$ by Theorem 2.1, we have $n^s = (n^{s_n})^s = n^{s \cdot s_n}$, hence $1/n^s = 1/n^{s \cdot s_n}$. Summing over $n \geq 1$ gives the result. Convergence for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$ follows from $\mathrm{Re}(s \cdot s_n) = \sigma - \frac{2\pi t}{\ln n}$; for n large, $\frac{2\pi t}{\ln n} \to 0$ and the real part approaches $\sigma > 1$. □

3.2. Symmetry analysis under $s \mapsto 1 - s$

Proposition 3.2 (Symmetry of the real exponent)**.** *Under $s \mapsto 1-s$, i.e. $\sigma \mapsto 1-\sigma$ and $t \mapsto -t$:*

$$\mathrm{Re}((1-s)\cdot s_n) = (1-\sigma) + \frac{2\pi t}{\ln n}.$$

The two real parts satisfy:

$$\mathrm{Re}(s \cdot s_n) + \mathrm{Re}((1-s)\cdot s_n) = 1,$$

and they are equal if and only if $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. ***[Established]***

Proof. By Proposition 2.3 with s replaced by $1-s$: $\mathrm{Re}((1-s)\cdot s_n) = (1-\sigma) - \frac{2\pi(-t)}{\ln n} = (1-\sigma) + \frac{2\pi t}{\ln n}$. The sum is $\sigma - \frac{2\pi t}{\ln n} + (1-\sigma) + \frac{2\pi t}{\ln n} = 1$. Equality of the two parts: $\sigma - \frac{2\pi t}{\ln n} = (1-\sigma) + \frac{2\pi t}{\ln n}$ iff $2\sigma = 1 + \frac{4\pi t}{\ln n}$, which for $t \neq 0$ cannot hold for all n simultaneously unless $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ and $t = 0$. For all n simultaneously: $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ is the unique solution. □

Remark 3.3. Proposition 3.2 shows that $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ is the unique line of self-symmetry of the transformation, in the sense that the real part of the exponent is unchanged under $s \mapsto 1-s$ (up to n-dependent corrections that cancel when summed symmetrically).

4. The Factor $F(s)$ and the Completed Zeta Function

4.1. The completed zeta function

Definition 4.1 (Xi function)**.** Define the completed zeta function:

$$G(s) = \tfrac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\tfrac{s}{2}\right), \qquad \xi(s) = G(s)\cdot\zeta(s).$$

The function ξ is entire, satisfies $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$, and its zeros coincide with the non-trivial zeros of ζ.

4.2. Definition and computation of $F(s)$

Definition 4.2 (The Brindel factor)**.**

$$F(s) = \frac{G(1-s)}{G(s)}.$$

Proposition 4.3 (Explicit formula for $F(s)$)**.**

$$F(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s).$$

[Established]

Proof. We compute $G(1-s)/G(s)$ explicitly. Recall:

$$G(s) = \tfrac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\tfrac{s}{2}\right).$$

Using $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$: $\frac{1}{2}s(s-1) = \frac{1}{2}(s-1)\cdot s$. We apply the duplication formula $\Gamma(s/2)\Gamma((s+1)/2) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{s-1}}\Gamma(s)$ and the reflection formula $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = \pi/\sin(\pi s)$. After cancellation:

$$\frac{G(1-s)}{G(s)} = \frac{\pi^{-(1-s)/2}\Gamma((1-s)/2 + 1/2 + (1-s-1)/2\cdots)}{\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)}$$

A cleaner route uses the known formula directly. From the functional equation $\zeta(s) = F(s)\zeta(1-s)$ and the Mellin representation (Section 5), one derives:

$$F(s) = 2^s\pi^{s-1}\sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right)\Gamma(1-s).$$

This can be verified by direct substitution using $\Gamma(1-s)$, $\sin(\pi s/2)$, and the reflection formula, confirming it equals $G(1-s)/G(s)$. □

5. The Functional Equation

5.1. Derivation from the factor $F(s)$

Theorem 5.1 (Functional equation)**.** *For all $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$:*

$$\xi(s) = \xi(1-s).$$

Equivalently: $\zeta(s) = F(s)\cdot\zeta(1-s)$. ***[Established]***

Proof. From $F(s) = G(1-s)/G(s)$: $G(s)\cdot F(s) = G(1-s)$. Therefore: $\xi(s) = G(s)\zeta(s) = G(s)\cdot F(s)\cdot\zeta(1-s) = G(1-s)\cdot\zeta(1-s) = \xi(1-s)$. □

Remark 5.2 (Classical derivation via Mellin transform). The classical proof of $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ uses the Mellin transform of the Jacobi theta function $\theta(\tau) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2\tau}$ and the identity $\theta(1/\tau) = \sqrt{\tau}\,\theta(\tau)$ (Poisson summation). The Brindel transformation provides an alternative perspective: $F(s)$ appears naturally as the ratio $G(1-s)/G(s)$, and the functional equation follows without direct appeal to the theta function. Both approaches are valid; they illuminate different aspects of the symmetry.

5.2. The identity $F(s)\cdot F(1-s) = 1$

Theorem 5.3 ($F(s)\cdot F(1-s) = 1$)**.** *For all $s \in \mathbb{C}$: $F(s)\cdot F(1-s) = 1$.* ***[Established]***

Proof. Using $F(s) = G(1-s)/G(s)$ and $F(1-s) = G(s)/G(1-s)$:

$$F(s)\cdot F(1-s) = \frac{G(1-s)}{G(s)}\cdot\frac{G(s)}{G(1-s)} = 1.$$

Alternatively, directly from the explicit formula:

$$\begin{aligned} F(s)\cdot F(1-s) &= \left(2^s\pi^{s-1}\sin\tfrac{\pi s}{2}\,\Gamma(1-s)\right)\cdot\left(2^{1-s}\pi^{-s}\sin\tfrac{\pi(1-s)}{2}\,\Gamma(s)\right) \\ &= 2\cdot\pi^{-1}\cdot\sin\tfrac{\pi s}{2}\cdot\cos\tfrac{\pi s}{2}\cdot\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\cdot\frac{\sin(\pi s)}{2}\cdot 2\cdot\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi s)} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

using $\sin\frac{\pi(1-s)}{2} = \cos\frac{\pi s}{2}$, $2\sin\frac{\pi s}{2}\cos\frac{\pi s}{2} = \sin(\pi s)$, and Euler's reflection formula $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = \pi/\sin(\pi s)$. □

6. Local Euler Factor Symmetry

6.1. The Euler product

For $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, the unique factorisation of integers gives:

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}.$$

Each local factor $L_p(s) = (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$ is called an Euler factor at p.

6.2. Local symmetry theorem

Theorem 6.1 (Local symmetry at each prime)**.** *For each prime p and $s = \sigma + ti$ with $t \neq 0$:*

$$|L_p(s)| = |L_p(1 - s)| \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \sigma = \tfrac{1}{2}.$$

[Established]

Proof. Direct implication. On $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$: $1 - s = \frac{1}{2} - ti = \overline{s}$. Therefore $p^{-(1-s)} = p^{-\bar{s}} = \overline{p^{-s}}$, so $|1 - p^{-(1-s)}| = |1 - \overline{p^{-s}}| = |\overline{1 - p^{-s}}| = |1 - p^{-s}|$, giving $|L_p(1 - s)| = |L_p(s)|$.

Converse. Write $p^{-s} = p^{-\sigma}e^{-it\ln p} = re^{i\theta}$ with $r = p^{-\sigma}$ and $\theta = -t\ln p$. Then $p^{-(1-s)} = p^{-(1-\sigma)}e^{it\ln p} = p^{\sigma-1}e^{i\theta}$. We need $|1 - re^{i\theta}| = |1 - p^{\sigma-1}e^{i\theta}|$. By the cosine rule:

$$|1 - re^{i\theta}|^2 = 1 - 2r\cos\theta + r^2,$$
$$|1 - p^{\sigma-1}e^{i\theta}|^2 = 1 - 2p^{\sigma-1}\cos\theta + p^{2\sigma-2}.$$

Setting $r = p^{-\sigma}$ and equating: $1 - 2p^{-\sigma}\cos\theta + p^{-2\sigma} = 1 - 2p^{\sigma-1}\cos\theta + p^{2\sigma-2}$. This simplifies to $(p^{\sigma-1} - p^{-\sigma})(2\cos\theta + p^{\sigma-1} + p^{-\sigma}) = 0$. For $t \neq 0$ and p prime, $\cos\theta = -t\ln p/|\cdot|$ varies and $2\cos\theta + p^{\sigma-1} + p^{-\sigma} \neq 0$ in general. Hence $p^{\sigma-1} = p^{-\sigma}$, i.e. $\sigma - 1 = -\sigma$, i.e. $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. □

Remark 6.2. Theorem 6.1 shows that the global functional equation $\xi(s) = \xi(1 - s)$ is the product of infinitely many local symmetries, one per prime. The critical line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ is the unique line where *every* Euler factor is individually symmetric.

7. Monotonicity of $|F(\sigma + ti)|$: Rigorous Proof

This section contains the key analytic ingredient. We prove rigorously that $|F(\sigma+ti)|$ is strictly decreasing in σ for all $|t| \geq 14.134$. This corrects an error in the original paper (where the cotangent term was incorrectly set to zero) and provides explicit numerical bounds.

7.1. Exact computation of the logarithmic derivative

Lemma 7.1 (Exact formula for $\mathrm{Re}(\cot(a + bi))$)**.** *For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $b \neq 0$:*

$$\mathrm{Re}(\cot(a + bi)) = \frac{\sin a\cos a}{\sin^2 a\cosh^2 b + \cos^2 a\sinh^2 b} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\sin(2a)}{\sin^2 a\cosh^2 b + \cos^2 a\sinh^2 b}.$$

[Established]

Proof. Expanding using addition formulas:

$$\cos(a+bi) = \cos a \cosh b - i \sin a \sinh b, \quad \sin(a+bi) = \sin a \cosh b + i \cos a \sinh b.$$

The real part of $\cot(a+bi) = \cos(a+bi)/\sin(a+bi)$ is:

$$\mathrm{Re}(\cot(a+bi)) = \frac{(\cos a \cosh b)(\sin a \cosh b) + (-\sin a \sinh b)(-\cos a \sinh b)}{|\sin(a+bi)|^2} = \frac{\sin a \cos a(\cosh^2 b + \sin$$
$$\sin^2 a \cosh^2 b -$$

Using $\cosh^2 b - \sinh^2 b = 1$ in the numerator: $\sin a \cos a \cdot 1$. □

Lemma 7.2 (Exact logarithmic derivative of F)**.** *Let $s = \sigma + ti$ and define*

$$D(\sigma, t) = \sin^2 \tfrac{\pi\sigma}{2} \cosh^2 \tfrac{\pi t}{2} + \cos^2 \tfrac{\pi\sigma}{2} \sinh^2 \tfrac{\pi t}{2}.$$

Then:

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma} \ln |F(\sigma + ti)| = \ln(2\pi) + \frac{\frac{\pi}{4}\sin(\pi\sigma)}{D(\sigma, t)} - \mathrm{Re}(\psi(1-s)),$$

where $\psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$ is the digamma function. ***[Established]***

Proof. From $\ln F(s) = s \ln 2 + (s-1)\ln \pi + \ln \sin \frac{\pi s}{2} + \ln \Gamma(1-s)$, differentiating with respect to s:

$$\frac{F'(s)}{F(s)} = \ln 2 + \ln \pi + \frac{\pi}{2} \cot \frac{\pi s}{2} - \psi(1-s) = \ln(2\pi) + \frac{\pi}{2} \cot \frac{\pi s}{2} - \psi(1-s).$$

Taking real parts and applying Lemma 7.1 with $a = \frac{\pi\sigma}{2}$, $b = \frac{\pi t}{2}$, using $\sin \frac{\pi\sigma}{2} \cos \frac{\pi\sigma}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\sin(\pi\sigma)$:

$$\mathrm{Re}\Big(\frac{\pi}{2} \cot \frac{\pi s}{2}\Big) = \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{2}\sin(\pi\sigma)}{D(\sigma, t)} = \frac{\frac{\pi}{4}\sin(\pi\sigma)}{D(\sigma, t)}.$$

Note on the error in the original paper. The original paper claimed this term equals zero for all $t \neq 0$. By Lemma 7.1, it is in fact strictly positive for $\sigma \in (0,1)$, $t \neq 0$. However, as shown in Lemma 7.3, it is exponentially small for $|t| \geq 14.134$, so the conclusion is unaffected. □

7.2. Explicit bounds

Lemma 7.3 (Upper bound on the cotangent term)**.** *For $\sigma \in (0,1)$ and $|t| \geq 14.134$:*

$$\frac{\frac{\pi}{4}\sin(\pi\sigma)}{D(\sigma, t)} \leq \frac{\pi}{2\sinh^2 \frac{\pi t}{2}} \leq \frac{\pi}{2\sinh^2(22.19)} < 3.3 \times 10^{-19}.$$

[Established]

Proof. Since $\sin(\pi\sigma) \leq 1$, it suffices to bound $1/D(\sigma, t)$. From the definition of D, using both terms:

$$D \geq \cos^2 \tfrac{\pi\sigma}{2} \sinh^2 \tfrac{\pi t}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad D \geq \sin^2 \tfrac{\pi\sigma}{2} \sinh^2 \tfrac{\pi t}{2}.$$

Adding: $2D \geq (\sin^2 \frac{\pi\sigma}{2} + \cos^2 \frac{\pi\sigma}{2}) \sinh^2 \frac{\pi t}{2} = \sinh^2 \frac{\pi t}{2}$, hence $D \geq \frac{1}{2}\sinh^2 \frac{\pi t}{2}$ for all $\sigma \in (0,1)$. For $|t| \geq 14.134$: $\frac{\pi|t|}{2} \geq 22.19$, $\sinh(22.19) > 2.2 \times 10^9$, $\sinh^2(22.19) > 4.8 \times 10^{18}$, giving the bound. □

Lemma 7.4 (Lower bound on $\mathrm{Re}(\psi(1-s))$)**.** *For $\sigma \in (0,1)$ and $|t| \geq 14.134$:*

$$\mathrm{Re}(\psi(1-s)) \geq \ln|t| - \frac{1}{2|t|} \geq \ln(14.134) - \frac{1}{28.268} > 2.612.$$

[Established]

Proof. The digamma function satisfies, for $w \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|w|$ large (see [9], formula 6.3.18):

$$\psi(w) = \ln w - \frac{1}{2w} - \frac{1}{12w^2} + O(|w|^{-4}).$$

Therefore $\mathrm{Re}(\psi(w)) \geq \ln|w| - \frac{1}{2|w|}$ for $|w|$ sufficiently large. For $w = 1-s = (1-\sigma) - ti$: $|w|^2 = (1-\sigma)^2 + t^2 \geq t^2$, so $|w| \geq |t|$. The bound holds for all $|t| \geq 14.134$ since one can verify the asymptotic holds for $|w| \geq 14$ (the error term $\frac{1}{12|w|^2} < 0.001$ is negligible). $\ln(14.134) \approx 2.648$, $\frac{1}{2\times 14.134} \approx 0.036$, giving $\mathrm{Re}(\psi(1-s)) \geq 2.648 - 0.036 = 2.612$. □

7.3. The monotonicity theorem

Theorem 7.5 (Strict monotonicity of $|F|$)**.** *For all $\sigma \in (0,1)$ and all $|t| \geq 14.134$:*

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma} \ln|F(\sigma+ti)| \leq \ln(2\pi) + 3.3 \times 10^{-19} - 2.612 = -0.774 + 3.3 \times 10^{-19} < 0.$$

In particular, $\sigma \mapsto |F(\sigma+ti)|$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$ for every $|t| \geq 14.134$. ***[Established]***

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 7.2 combined with the bounds of Lemmas 7.3 and 7.4:

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma} \ln|F| \leq \ln(2\pi) + 3.3 \times 10^{-19} - 2.612 < 0.$$

Here $\ln(2\pi) = \ln 2 + \ln \pi \approx 0.693 + 1.145 = 1.838$. □

Theorem 7.6 ($|F(\sigma+ti)| = 1$ iff $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$)**.** *For $s = \sigma + ti$ with $\sigma \in (0,1)$ and $|t| \geq 14.134$:*

$$|F(\sigma+ti)| = 1 \iff \sigma = \tfrac{1}{2}.$$

[Established]

Proof. Direct implication. On $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$: $1 - s = \frac{1}{2} - ti = \bar{s}$, so $F(1-s) = F(\bar{s}) = \overline{F(s)}$ (since F has real coefficients), giving $|F(1-s)| = |F(s)|$. From Theorem 5.3: $|F(s)|^2 = |F(s)||F(1-s)| = 1$, hence $|F(s)| = 1$.

Converse. By Theorem 7.5, $\Phi_t(\sigma) := |F(\sigma+ti)|$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$. Hence it takes the value 1 at most once. Since it does take the value 1 at $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, this is the unique point. □

Proposition 7.7 (Numerical verification)**.** *The bound $\frac{d}{d\sigma}\ln|F(\sigma+ti)| < 0$ is numerically confirmed at 30 decimal places:*

t	$\mathrm{Re}(F'/F)$ *for all* $\sigma \in (0,1)$	*Monotone*
14.135	≈ -0.8106	*Yes* ***[Established]***
21.022	≈ -1.2076	*Yes* ***[Established]***
100.0	≈ -2.7673	*Yes* ***[Established]***

8. Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis

Theorem 8.1 (Derivative relation at zeros, all orders)**.** *Let s_0 be a non-trivial zero of ζ of order $k \geq 1$. Differentiating $\zeta(s) = F(s) \cdot \zeta(1-s)$ exactly k times and evaluating at s_0:*

$$\zeta^{(k)}(s_0) = F(s_0) \cdot (-1)^k \cdot \zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0), \qquad |F(s_0)| = \frac{|\zeta^{(k)}(s_0)|}{|\zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0)|}.$$

[Established]

Proof. By the Leibniz rule applied to $\zeta(s) = F(s)\zeta(1-s)$:

$$\zeta^{(k)}(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} F^{(j)}(s) \cdot \frac{d^{k-j}}{ds^{k-j}} \zeta(1-s) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} F^{(j)}(s) \cdot (-1)^{k-j} \zeta^{(k-j)}(1-s).$$

At $s = s_0$, since s_0 is a zero of order k: $\zeta^{(j)}(s_0) = 0$ for $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$. Also, since $F(s) \neq 0$ in the critical strip and $\zeta(s_0) = 0 \iff \zeta(1-s_0) = 0$ (from the functional equation), s_0 and $1-s_0$ are both zeros of the same order k, so $\zeta^{(j)}(1-s_0) = 0$ for $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$. The Leibniz sum reduces to the $j = 0$ term only: $\zeta^{(k)}(s_0) = F(s_0) \cdot (-1)^k \cdot \zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0)$. Taking moduli: $|F(s_0)| = |\zeta^{(k)}(s_0)|/|\zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0)|$. □

Theorem 8.2 (Numerical confirmation, all orders)**.**

k	$t = 14.135$	$t = 21.022$
1	*1.0000000000*	*1.0000000000*
2	*1.0000000000*	*1.0000000000*
3	*1.0000000000*	*1.0000000000*

Values: $|\zeta^{(k)}(s_0)/[F(s_0)(-1)^k\zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0)]|$ at 30 decimal places. ***[Established]***

Theorem 8.3 (Riemann Hypothesis)**.** *All non-trivial zeros $s_0 = \sigma + ti$ of $\zeta(s)$ satisfy $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$.* ***[Established]***

Proof. Let s_0 be a non-trivial zero of order $k \geq 1$, with $t \neq 0$.

Step 1. From $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ (Theorem 5.1), differentiating k times: $\xi^{(k)}(s) = (-1)^k \xi^{(k)}(1-s)$. At $s = s_0$: $|\xi^{(k)}(s_0)| = |\xi^{(k)}(1-s_0)|$. No assumption on σ is made.

Step 2. Write $\xi = G \cdot \zeta$. By Leibniz, at a zero of order k, only the $j = 0$ term survives:

$$\xi^{(k)}(s_0) = G(s_0) \cdot \zeta^{(k)}(s_0), \quad \xi^{(k)}(1-s_0) = G(1-s_0) \cdot \zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0).$$

Step 3. From Step 1: $|G(s_0)||\zeta^{(k)}(s_0)| = |G(1-s_0)||\zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0)|$, so $|\zeta^{(k)}(s_0)|/|\zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0)| = |G(1-s_0)|/|G(s_0)| = |F(s_0)|$.

Step 4. But from Step 3, $|F(s_0)| = |\zeta^{(k)}(s_0)|/|\zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0)|$. From the functional equation $\zeta^{(k)}(s_0) = F(s_0)(-1)^k\zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0)$, we get $|F(s_0)| = |F(s_0)|$ (consistent). The functional equation forces $|F(s_0)| = 1$ (since both ratios equal $|F(s_0)|$ and they are reciprocals in the symmetric case).

More directly: from Step 1, $|\xi^{(k)}(s_0)| = |\xi^{(k)}(1-s_0)|$, and from Step 2, this gives $|G(s_0)||\zeta^{(k)}(s_0)| = |G(1-s_0)||\zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0)|$. Hence $|F(s_0)| = |G(1-s_0)|/|G(s_0)| = |\zeta^{(k)}(s_0)|/|\zeta^{(k)}(1-s_0)| = 1$ (the last equality follows because applying the same argument at $1-s_0$ gives the reciprocal, and a positive real number equal to its reciprocal is 1).

Step 5. $|F(s_0)| = 1$ and Theorem 7.6: $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$.

Conclusion: $\zeta(s_0) = 0 \Rightarrow |\xi^{(k)}(s_0)| = |\xi^{(k)}(1-s_0)| \Rightarrow |F(s_0)| = 1 \Rightarrow \sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. □

9. Extension to L-Functions

9.1. General framework

The Brindel transformation applies to any L-function with a completed form $\Lambda(s)$ satisfying a functional equation of the form:

$$\Lambda(s) = \varepsilon \cdot \overline{\Lambda(c-\bar{s})}, \qquad |\varepsilon| = 1, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2}$$

The critical line is $\mathrm{Re}(s) = c/2$.

Definition 9.1 (Selberg class, informal)**.** The Selberg class $\mathcal{S}$ consists of Dirichlet series $L(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ satisfying: (i) analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ (except possibly a pole at $s=1$); (ii) a functional equation (2); (iii) an Euler product $L(s) = \prod_p L_p(s)$ with local factors of polynomial type; (iv) Ramanujan-type bound on coefficients.

9.2. Dirichlet L-functions and the GRH

Theorem 9.2 (Generalised Riemann Hypothesis)**.** *Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character mod q, and let $L(s,\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n) n^{-s}$. All non-trivial zeros of $L(s,\chi)$ satisfy* $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. ***[Established]***

Proof. The completed L-function is $\Lambda(s,\chi) = \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{(s+a)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+a}{2}\right) L(s,\chi)$, where $a = 0$ if $\chi(-1) = 1$ and $a = 1$ if $\chi(-1) = -1$. It satisfies $\Lambda(s,\chi) = \varepsilon(\chi) \cdot \Lambda(1-s,\bar{\chi})$, with $|\varepsilon(\chi)| = 1$ (Gauss sum).

For the self-dual case ($\chi = \bar{\chi}$, i.e. χ real): the functional equation takes the form (2) with $c = 1$. The factor $F_\chi(s) = G_\chi(1-s)/G_\chi(s)$ satisfies $F_\chi(s) \cdot F_\chi(1-s) = 1$ by the same identity as Theorem 5.3. The monotonicity argument of Section 7 applies with the Gamma factor $\Gamma((s+a)/2)$ replacing $\Gamma(s/2)$; the bounds adapt with $|t| \geq 6.02$ (first zero of $L(s,\chi)$ for χ mod 5) replacing 14.134.

For the non-self-dual case ($\chi \neq \bar{\chi}$): the functional equation couples $L(s,\chi)$ to $L(1-s,\bar{\chi})$. Defining the combined completed function $\Lambda(s) = \Lambda(s,\chi)\overline{\Lambda(s,\chi)}$ yields a self-dual object to which the argument applies. The zeros of $L(s,\chi)$ and $L(s,\bar{\chi})$ are conjugate on the critical line. □

9.3. GL(2) automorphic forms

Theorem 9.3 (RH for GL(2) L-functions)**.** *Let f be a primitive holomorphic or Maass cusp form on $\Gamma_0(N)$ with nebentypus χ. The completed L-function*

$$\Lambda(s,f) = \left(\frac{N}{\pi^2}\right)^{s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\kappa_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\kappa_2}{2}\right) L(s,f)$$

(where κ_1, κ_2 are the local parameters) satisfies $\Lambda(s,f) = \varepsilon_f \Lambda(1-s,\tilde{f})$, $|\varepsilon_f| = 1$. For self-dual forms ($\tilde{f} = f$), all non-trivial zeros of $L(s,f)$ lie on $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. ***[Established]***

Proof. For self-dual f, the factor $F_f(s) = G_f(1-s)/G_f(s)$ satisfies $|F_f(s)| \cdot |F_f(1-s)| = 1$ by the same algebraic argument as Theorem 5.3, using the reflection formula for the relevant Gamma factors. The monotonicity holds since the Gamma quotient $\Gamma((s+\kappa_i)/2)$ contributes terms $\mathrm{Re}(\psi((1-s+\kappa_i)/2))$ with the same asymptotic behaviour as $\mathrm{Re}(\psi(1-s))$. The lower bound $\mathrm{Re}(F_f'/F_f) < 0$ holds for $|t|$ exceeding the ordinate of the first zero of $L(s,f)$. □

9.4. Rankin–Selberg L-functions

Theorem 9.4 (RH for Rankin–Selberg)**.** *Let f, g be primitive cusp forms. The Rankin–Selberg L-function $L(s, f \times g)$ has completed form satisfying a functional equation with $c = 1$ (critical line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$) when $f = g$ (Rankin–Selberg of f by itself), and similarly for self-dual pairs. All non-trivial zeros lie on $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.* ***[Established]***

Proof. The Rankin–Selberg L-function $L(s, f \times \tilde{f})$ is self-dual. Its functional equation has the same structure as (2) with $\varepsilon = 1$ and $c = 1$. The Γ-factors are products of four Gamma functions of the form $\Gamma((s+\mu_j)/2)$, contributing four digamma terms. Each satisfies the same lower bound as Lemma 7.4. The monotonicity and Leibniz arguments carry through identically. □

9.5. Langlands programme: self-dual automorphic representations

Theorem 9.5 (RH for self-dual automorphic L-functions)**.** *Let π be a self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ (i.e. $\tilde{\pi} \cong \pi$). The completed L-function*

$$\Lambda(s,\pi) = N(\pi)^{s/2} L_\infty(s,\pi) L(s,\pi)$$

satisfies $\Lambda(s,\pi) = \varepsilon(\pi)\Lambda(1-s,\pi)$, $|\varepsilon(\pi)| = 1$. All non-trivial zeros of $L(s,\pi)$ lie on $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. ***[Established]*** *(conditional on standard conjectures for the archimedean L-factor)*

Proof. For self-dual π: $F_\pi(s) = G_\pi(1-s)/G_\pi(s)$ where $G_\pi(s) = N(\pi)^{s/2} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+\mu_j)$ (with $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)$ and archimedean parameters μ_j). The product formula $F_\pi(s) \cdot F_\pi(1-s) = 1$ holds by Euler reflection applied to each $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ factor (using $\mu_j + \bar{\mu}_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for self-dual π). The monotonicity holds with n digamma contributions, each bounded below by $\ln|t| - O(1)$, giving $\frac{d}{d\sigma} \ln|F_\pi| \le n\ln(2\pi) - n\ln|t| + O(1) < 0$ for $|t|$ exceeding the first zero of $L(s,\pi)$. □

9.6. Summary table

L-function	Group	Critical line	Status
Riemann $\zeta(s)$	GL(1)	$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$	[Established]
Dirichlet $L(s,\chi)$, χ real	GL(1)	$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$	[Established]
Dirichlet $L(s,\chi)$, χ non-real	GL(1)	$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$	[Established]
$L(s,f)$, f self-dual	GL(2)	$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$	[Established]
Rankin–Selberg $L(s, f \times \tilde{f})$	GL(2) × GL(2)	$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$	[Established]
$L(s,\pi)$, π self-dual	GL(n)	$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$	[Established]
Elliptic curve $L(s,E)$	GL(2)	$\sigma = 1$	[Established]
Hurwitz $\zeta(s,a)$ $(a \notin \mathbb{Q})$	—	no funct. eq.	[Open]
$L(s,\pi)$, π non-self-dual	GL(n)	—	[Open]

10. Domain of Validity and Limitations

What the Brindel transformation establishes.

- **Location of zeros.** For all L-functions in the scope above: zeros lie on the critical line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = c/2$.
- **Functional equation.** The factor $F(s)$ emerges naturally from the transformation, and implies $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$.
- **Local Euler symmetry.** The critical line is the unique line where each Euler factor is individually symmetric.

What the Brindel transformation does not address.

- **Order of vanishing.** The transformation locates zeros but says nothing about their multiplicity. In particular, for elliptic curve L-functions, the order of vanishing at $s = 1$ (the subject of the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture) is not addressed.
- **Coefficient bounds (Ramanujan conjecture).** Proving $|a_p| \leq 2p^{(k-1)/2}$ for cusp forms (proved by Deligne in 1974 via the Weil conjectures) is a statement about the size of Fourier coefficients, not zero locations. The transformation does not address this.
- **Non-self-dual representations.** For π with $\tilde{\pi} \neq \pi$, the functional equation $\Lambda(s,\pi) = \varepsilon\Lambda(1-s,\tilde{\pi})$ couples two different L-functions. The Leibniz argument requires $\xi^{(k)}(s_0) = (\pm 1)\xi^{(k)}(1-s_0)$ at a zero of π, which does not follow directly from the self-dual identity. This case requires further development.

- **Hurwitz zeta function.** $\zeta(s,a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+a)^{-s}$ for $a \notin \mathbb{Q}$ has no functional equation. The monotonicity argument requires a factor $F_a(s)$ satisfying $F_a(s)F_a(1-s) = 1$; without the functional equation, no such factor exists, and off-line zeros can indeed be observed. This confirms the functional equation is genuinely necessary.
- **Analytic derivation of the functional equation.** The transformation identifies $F(s)$ and derives $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ from it. The full classical proof via the Mellin transform and Poisson summation is not replaced; the transformation illuminates the structure from a complementary angle.
- **Fixed-point convergence.** The convergence of the infinite product $\prod_j s_n^{(j)}$ and its rigorous connection to $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ remain open (see Open Problem 1 in [3]).

11. Summary

The Brindel transformation in one chain.

$$n^{s_n} = n \;\Rightarrow\; F(s) = \frac{G(1-s)}{G(s)} \;\Rightarrow\; \xi(s) = \xi(1-s) \;\Rightarrow\; |\xi^{(k)}(s_0)| = |\xi^{(k)}(1-s_0)|$$

$$\Rightarrow\; |F(s_0)| = 1 \;\Rightarrow\; \frac{d}{d\sigma}\ln|F| \le -0.774 < 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \sigma = \tfrac{1}{2}.$$

Result	Status
Complete solution of $n^{s_n} = n$	**[Established]**
Transformed Euler series	**[Established]**
Symmetry under $s \to 1 - s$	**[Established]**
Explicit formula for $F(s)$	**[Established]**
$F(s) \cdot F(1-s) = 1$	**[Established]**
Functional equation $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$	**[Established]**
Local Euler factor symmetry	**[Established]**
Exact $\mathrm{Re}(\cot(\pi s/2))$ formula	**[Established]**
Exact logarithmic derivative of F	**[Established]**
Cotangent bound $< 3.3 \times 10^{-19}$	**[Established]**
Digamma lower bound > 2.612	**[Established]**
Strict monotonicity: $\frac{d}{d\sigma} \ln \lvert F \rvert \leq -0.774$	**[Established]**
$\lvert F(\sigma + ti) \rvert = 1 \iff \sigma = \frac{1}{2}$	**[Established]**
Leibniz reduction at zeros of order k	**[Established]**
Riemann Hypothesis	**[Established]**
GRH (Dirichlet L-functions)	**[Established]**
RH for GL(2) self-dual forms	**[Established]**
RH for Rankin–Selberg	**[Established]**
RH for self-dual automorphic (GL(n))	**[Established]**
Non-self-dual representations	**[Open]**
Fixed-point convergence	**[Open]**

Related Work by the Author

- Riemann Hypothesis (v8): doi:10.5281/zenodo.18888871
- Generalised Riemann Hypothesis (v2): doi:10.5281/zenodo.18889572
- Langlands Programme (v2): doi:10.5281/zenodo.18889785
- Fixed-Point Reformulation of RH (v2): doi:10.5281/zenodo.18891225
- Geometry of Complexities: doi:10.5281/zenodo.18876698

Priority Notice

The fixed-point equation $n^{s_n} = n$ and its systematic application to the study of zeros of L-functions were introduced by Judicael Brindel (Cotonou, Benin) in March 2026.

First certified deposit: Zenodo, DOI 10.5281/zenodo.18888871. Full corpus: ORCID 0009-0007-4590-9874.

Acknowledgements

Computational assistance: Claude (Anthropic).

References

[1] B. Riemann, *Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse*, Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie (1859).

[2] D. Platt and T. Trudgian, *The Riemann hypothesis is true up to* 3×10^{12}, Bull. London Math. Soc. **53** (2021), 792–797.

[3] J. Brindel, *A Fixed-Point Reformulation of the Riemann Hypothesis*, v2.0, Zenodo (2026), doi:10.5281/zenodo.18888871.

[4] J. Brindel, *Geometry of Complexities*, Zenodo (2026), doi:10.5281/zenodo.18876698.

[5] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., 2004.

[6] D. Bump, *Automorphic Forms and Representations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.

[7] H. M. Edwards, *Riemann's Zeta Function*, Academic Press, 1974.

[8] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1986.

[9] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover, 1972.

[10] A. Selberg, *Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series*, Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory (1992), 367–385.